UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,929	11/19/2003	Karl Guthrie	P 6040.13006	3155
	7590 06/05/200 ctual Property, LLC	8	EXAMINER	
900 SW Fifth A	venue, Suite 1820		LUGO, CARLOS	
Portland, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Intonvious Summany	10/718,929	GUTHRIE ET AL.			
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Carlos Lugo	3673			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):				
(1) <u>Carlos Lugo</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Garth Janke</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>03 June 2008</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>34-61 and 63-70</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>5702218</u> , <u>3332118</u> , <u>4615514</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ l	N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In a telephone interview with Mr. Janke, the office action mailed on May 15, 2008 was discussed. The applicant argues that Onofrio device is not used for fall protection, based on the fact that for fall protection, the embodiments requires flexible cables not threaded rods. Also, the applicant propose to incorporate language regarding the hole plug in order to overcome the rejection. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	/Carlos Lugo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3 Examiner's signature, if requ				